

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1941

No. 314

LOIS BOWDEN AND ZADA SANDERS,
PETITIONERS,

vs.

CITY OF FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE
OF ARKANSAS

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED JULY 28, 1941.

CERTIORARI GRANTED MARCH 16, 1942.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1941

No.

LOIS BOWDEN AND ZADA SANDERS, PETITIONERS,

vs.

CITY OF FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS

INDEX.

	Original	Print
Proceedings in Supreme Court of Arkansas.....	1	1
Caption (omitted in printing) ..	1	
Record from Circuit Court of Sebastian County.....	2	1
Caption (omitted in printing) ..	2	
Transcript of appeal from Municipal Court—City vs. H. D. Cole	3	1
Appeal bond (omitted in printing) ..	4	
Motion to dismiss appeal of H. D. Cole.....	5	1
Transcript of appeal from Municipal Court—City vs. Lois Bowden	6	2
Appeal bond (omitted in printing) ..	7	
Motion to dismiss appeal of Lois Bowden.....	8	3
Transcript of appeal from Municipal Court—City vs. Zada Sanders	9	4
Appeal bond (omitted in printing) ..	10	
Motion to dismiss appeal of Zada Sanders	11	4
Ordinance No. 1568, City of Fort Smith.....	12	5
Minute order of hearing	13	6
Motion for new trial	14	7
Order overruling motion for new trial	16	8
Judgment	17	8

JUDG & DETWEILER (INC.), PRINTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., JULY 8, 1941.

	Original	Print
Record from Circuit Court of Sebastian County—Continued		
Bill of exceptions	19	9
Stipulation of facts	20	10
Exhibit "A"—Ordinance No. 1538, City of Fort Smith	24	13
Exhibit "B"—Ordinance No. 1172, City of Fort Smith	25	14
Exhibit "C"—Articles of faith	27	15
Plaintiff's request for findings of fact and law	38	24
Defendants' request for findings of fact and law	40	25
Order settling bill of exceptions	44	20
Bond on appeal	45	
Clerk's certificate	46	
Judgment	47	29
Opinion, Holt, J.	48	30
Petition for stay of mandate and execution and order grant- ing same	56	37
Bond on appeal	57	
Clerk's certificate	59	
Order allowing certiorari		38

[fols. 1-2]

[Captions omitted]

[fol. 3]

**IN MUNICIPAL COURT OF THE CITY OF FORT
SMITH, ARKANSAS**

CITY OF FORT SMITH

VS.

H. D. COLE

TRANSCRIPT OF APPEAL—Filed June 26, 1940

June 25, 1940, H. D. Cole was arrested by officers brought before municipal court of the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, charged with the offense of passing out and selling handbills without a license to which charge defendant pleaded not guilty, whereupon he was tried, convicted and fined \$20 and the further sum of \$— as costs in said case.

June 26, 1940, defendant filed bond for appeal with Arthur Journey as surety. Bond approved this 26th day of June, 1940.

I, Wyatt W. Wilkerson, Clerk of said Municipal Court, do certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings; orders and judgment in the above entitled cause, as shown by docket of said Court.

Witness my hand and seal of said municipal court this 26th day of June, 1940.

Wyatt W. Wilkerson, Clerk. (Seal.)

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 4] Bond on appeal of H. D. Cole for \$40.00 approved and filed June 26, 1940, omitted in printing.

[fol. 5] **IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT**

No. 382 MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

VS.

H. D. COLE, Defendant

MOTION TO DISMISS—Filed October 25, 1940

Comes now the defendant and moves the court to dismiss this action on the following grounds:

1. That the complaint is invalid and does not state facts sufficient to constitute an offense under the law.

2. That the facts as stipulated herein fail to show that the defendant has violated said ordinance.

3. That the ordinance in question is invalid and void by reason that it is in direct conflict with the Constitution of this State and of the United States, in this: That it restricts the freedom of speech, freedom of press, and freedom of worship of Almighty God.

4. That the ordinance in question is in direct violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

5. That the facts as stipulated herein fail to show that defendant is guilty.

Wherefore, defendant moves the court to dismiss this case and that the defendant be discharged.

Woolsey & McKenzie, By Mark E. Woolsey, Attorney for Defendant.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 6] IN MUNICIPAL COURT OF THE CITY OF FORT SMITH,
ARKANSAS

CITY OF FORT SMITH

v.

LOIS BOWDEN

TRANSCRIPT OF APPEAL—Filed September 14, 1940

September 14, 1940, Lois Bowden was arrested by officers Willis-Higgins brought before Municipal Court of the City of Fort Smith, Arkansas, charged with the offense of Vio. City Ordinance No. 1172 to which charge defendant pleaded not guilty, whereupon he was tried, convicted and fined \$20 and the further sum of \$— as costs in said case.

September 14, 1940, defendant filed Bond for Appeal with Milton Barnes, Zach Dobyns and E. B. Bugg as surety. Bond approved this 14th day of September, 1940.

I, Wyatt W. Wilkerson, Clerk of said Municipal Court, do certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings, orders and judgment in

the above entitled cause, as shown by docket of said court.

Witness my hand and seal of said municipal court this 14th day of September, 1940.

Wyatt W. Wilkerson, Clerk. (Seal.)

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 7] Bond on appeal of Lois Bowden for \$40.00 approved and filed Sept. 14, 1940, omitted in printing.

[fol. 8] IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

vs.

LOIS BOWDEN, Defendant

MOTION TO DISMISS—Filed October 25, 1940

Comes now the defendant and moves the court to dismiss this action on the following grounds:

1. That the complaint is invalid and does not state facts sufficient to constitute an offense under the law.

2. That the facts as stipulated herein fail to show that the defendant has violated said ordinance.

3. That the ordinance in question is invalid and void by reason that it is in direct conflict with the Constitution of this State and of the United States, in this: That it restricts the freedom of speech, freedom of press, and freedom of worship of Almighty God.

4. That the ordinance in question is in direct violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

5. That the facts as stipulated herein fail to show that defendant is guilty.

Wherefore, defendant moves the court to dismiss this case and that the defendant be discharged.

Woolsey & McKenzie, by Mark E. Woolsey, Attorneys for Defendant.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 9] IN MUNICIPAL COURT OF THE CITY OF FORT SMITH,
ARKANSAS

CITY OF FORT SMITH

v.

ZADA SANDERS

TRANSCRIPT OF APPEAL—Filed September 14, 1940

September 14, 1940, Zada Sanders was arrested by officers Willis-Higgins brought before Municipal Court of the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, charged with the offense of Vio. City Ordinance No. 1172 to which charge defendant pleaded not guilty, whereupon he was tried, convicted and fined \$20. and the further sum of \$— as costs in said case.

September 14, 1940, defendant filed Bond for Appeal with Milton Barnes, Zach Dobyys and E. R. Bugg as surety. Bond approved this 14th day of September, 1940.

I, Wyatt W. Wilkerson, Clerk of said Municipal Court, do certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings, orders and judgment in the above entitled cause, as shown by docket of said court.

Witness my hand and seal of said municipal court this 14th day of September, 1940.

Wyatt W. Wilkerson, Clerk. (Seal.)

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 10] Bond on Appeal of Zada Sanders for \$40.00 approved and filed Sept. 14, 1940 omitted in printing.

[fol. 11] IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

v.

ZADA SANDERS, Defendant

MOTION TO DISMISS—Filed October 25, 1940

Comes now the defendant and moves the court to dismiss this action on the following grounds:

I. That the complaint is invalid and does not state facts sufficient to constitute an offense under the law.

2. That the facts as stipulated herein fail to show that the defendant has violated said ordinance.

3. That the ordinance in question is invalid and void by reason that it is in direct conflict with the Constitution of this State and of the United States, in this: That it restricts the freedom of speech, freedom of press, and freedom of worship of Almighty God.

4. That the ordinance in question is in direct violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

5. That the facts as stipulated herein fail to show that defendant is guilty.

Wherefore, defendant moves the court to dismiss this case and that the defendant be discharged.

Woolsey & McKenzie, by Mark E. Woolsey, Attorneys
for Defendant.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 12]

ORDINANCE No. 1568

An Ordinance entitled: An Ordinance amending Item 10 of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1557.

Be It Ordained by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Fort Smith:

Section 1. That item 10 of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1557 be amended to read as follows:

Item 10. Advertising: Distributors of circulars, handbills, samples, or other advertising matter, \$24 per annum, \$5 per month, \$1 per day; and each person engaging in distributing such advertising matter, whether upon his own account or as an agent, servant, or employee, shall pay said tax and shall keep in his or her possession, while so engaged, a receipt for said tax and exhibit same to the officers of the city upon demand.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in force and effect upon its passage and publication.

Passed and adopted on this the 19th day of June, 1930.

Fagan Bourland, Mayor.

Attest: Geo. Carnall, City Clerk.

(Published in Southwest American and Times Record
7-21-1930-

I, C. W. Steuart, Clerk of the City of Fort Smith, Arkansas, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Ordinance #1568 as taken from the original ordinance on file in my office.

Given under my hand and seal at Fort Smith, Arkansas, on this 19th day of June, 1940.

C. W. Steuart, City Clerk. (Seal.)

Endorsed on back: "Fort Smith District. Filed 1940, ..
Jul. 16, P. M. 12:02. Paul Lynch, Clerk."

[fol. 13] IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

No. 382MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

vs.

H. D. COLE, Defendant

Passing Out & Selling Handbills Without License.

No. 408MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

vs.

LOIS BOWDEN, Defendant

Violating Ordinance #1172.

No. 409MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

vs.

ZADA SANDERS, Defendant

Violating Ordinance #1172.

MINUTE ORDER OF HEARING

Now on this 25th day of October, 1940, the same being a day of the regular October, 1940, term of this court, this

cause comes on to be heard, when comes the plaintiff, City of Fort Smith, by its attorney, Lem C. Bryan, when come the defendants, H. D. Cole, Lois Bowden, and Zada Sanders, in person and by their attorney, Mark E. Woolsey, and all parties announce ready for trial. Whereupon, by consent of all parties, these causes are consolidated for trial, same being cases Nos. 382, 408 and 409, and by agreement of all parties, a jury is waived and the matter is submitted to the court upon defendants' demurrers and agreed statement of facts, defendants' separate motions to dismiss, requested findings of fact and declarations of law requested by each of the defendants, and requested findings of fact and declarations of law requested by the plaintiff; and the court, after hearing argument of counsel, takes said causes under advisement.

[fol. 14] [IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

[Title omitted]

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL—Filed December 7, 1940

Comes now each of the defendants, H. D. Cole, Lois Bowden, and Zada Sanders, and moves the court to set aside its findings of fact and declarations of law and the judgment of the court thereon and to grant each of them a new trial herein, and for grounds state:

1. The decision of the court is contrary to the evidence.
2. The decision of the court is contrary to the law.
3. The court erred in failing to make requested findings of fact numbers 3, 4, 6, and 8 requested by the defendants and erred in failing to make each of the above requested findings of fact.
4. That the court erred in failing to make declarations of law numbers 1, 2 and 3 requested by the defendant, H. D. Cole, and erred in failing to make each of said declarations of law.
5. The court erred in failing to make requested declarations of law numbers 1, 2, and 3, as requested by the defendants, Zada Sanders and Lois Bowden and erred in failing to make each of said declarations of law.

6. The court erred in making findings of fact numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 requested by the plaintiff, city of Fort Smith, and erred in making each of said findings of fact.

[fol. 15] 7. The court erred in making declarations of law numbers 1 and 2 requested by the plaintiff, city of Fort Smith, and erred in making each of said declarations of law.

Wherefore, said defendants, and each of them, move the court to grant them, and each of them, a new trial herein.

Mark E. Woolsey, Attorney for Defendants.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 16] IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

[Title omitted]

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL—
December 7, 1940

Now on this 7th day of December, 1940, come the defendants, H. D. Cole, Lois Bowden, and Zada Sanders, and file motion for new trial, and the court, after hearing argument of counsel and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, doth overrule defendants' motion for new trial, to which action of the court the defendants, and each of them, duly except and pray an appeal to the Supreme Court of Arkansas, which is granted, and defendants are allowed 58 days from the date of the judgment in which to prepare and file their bill of exceptions. Defendants' appeal bonds are fixed at \$25 in each case.

[fol. 17] IN CIRCUIT COURT OF SEBASTIAN COUNTY, FORT
SMITH DISTRICT

JUDGMENT—November 25, 1940

Now on this 25th day of November, 1940, the same being a day of the regular October, 1940, term of this court, the court, after having these causes under advisement and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, doth overrule defendants' separate demurrer and separate motions

to dismiss, and doth refuse to give requested findings of fact No. 3, 4, 6, and 8 requested by the defendants but gave such requested findings except No. 8 as modified. The court also refuses to make declarations of law No. 1, 2, and 3 requested by the defendant, H. D. Cole. The court also refuses to make requested declarations of law Nos. 1, 2, and 3 requested by the defendants, Zada Sanders and Lois Bowden. To the action of the court in refusing to make such findings of fact and direct declarations of law, the defendants and each of them duly objected and saved their exceptions, and the court doth make findings of fact Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 as requested by the plaintiff, and makes declarations of law Nos. 1 and 2 as requested by the plaintiff.

The court therefore finds that the defendant, H. D. Cole, is guilty of passing out and selling handbills without a license, in violation of ordinance No. 1568 of the city of Fort Smith, and that each of the defendants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, is guilty of violating ordinance No. 1172 of the city of Fort Smith; and for said violations, the court doth assess a fine of \$5 against each of said defendants.

It is, therefore, considered, ordered, and adjudged that the city of Fort Smith do have and recover of and from each of the defendants, H. D. Cole, Lois Bowden, and Zada Sanders, the sum of \$5 each, together with all the costs of the prosecution; and should said defendants make default in the payment of said fine and costs, they are to be confined in the county jail for a period until said fine and costs are [fol. 18] liquidated in the manner provided by law. To each finding of the court, the defendants separately and severally excepted.

[fol. 19] IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

No. 382MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

VS.

H. D. COLE, Defendant

Passing Out & Selling Handbills Without a License.

No. 408MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

vs.

LOIS BOWDEN, Defendant

Violating Ordinance # 1172.

No. 409MC

CITY OF FORT SMITH, Plaintiff,

vs.

ZADA SANDERS, Defendant

Violating Ordinance # 1172.

Bill of Exceptions—Filed January 5, 1941

[fol. 20] IN SEBASTIAN CIRCUIT COURT, FORT SMITH DISTRICT

STIPULATION OF FACTS—Filed October 25, 1940

These are three separate cases arising here on appeal from the municipal court of the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, and by agreement have been consolidated for trial by the court without a jury and upon the following agreed statement of facts.

The defendant H. D. Cole was convicted in the municipal court of Fort Smith, Arkansas, on the 25th day of June, 1940, for passing out and selling handbills without a license in violation of Ordinance No. —, attached hereto, marked Exhibit "A", and made a part hereof.

The defendant Lois Bowden and the defendant Zada Sanders were convicted in the municipal court of the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, on the 14th day of September, 1940, for the violation of ordinance No. 1172, which ordinance is attached hereto, marked Exhibit "B", and made a part hereof.

From the judgment of conviction in the municipal court as aforesaid each of said defendants has duly prosecuted and perfected an appeal to this court.

Each of the defendants is a member of a company of men and women known as Jehovah's Witnesses. Jehovah Witnesses are not a religious sect or denomination but are a company of Christian men and women who have individually taken their stand on the side of the Lord and who jointly go forward in obedience to his commandment to publish the Theocratic Government and to make known to the sincere people of earth God's gracious provision for man's salvation.

[fol. 21] Each of the defendants claims to be an ordained minister of the gospel and claims that the authority of ordination or commission of Jehovah's Witnesses is given to them exclusively by Jehovah God and through Christ Jesus the King of Theocracy. Their ordination or commission of authority was first conferred upon Christ Jesus, and through him extends to all his true followers and to which the following commission applies, to-wit:

"The spirit of the Lord God is upon me; because the Lord hath anointed me to preach good tidings unto the meek; he hath sent me to bind up the broken-hearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of prison to them that are bound; to proclaim the acceptable year of the Lord, and the day of vengeance of our God; to comfort all that mourn.—Isa. 61: 1, 2."

They do not engage in this work for any selfish reason but because they feel called to publish the news and preach the gospel of the kingdom to all the world as a witness before the end comes. Matt. 24: 14. They believe that in doing this they are engaged in work that the Almighty God declared must be done. To them the words "to preach" means to proclaim or publish. They claim to be publishers of the message of Jehovah making known his name and his government. Such publication is done by word of mouth, by distribution of the printed message, by the reproduction of recorded speech, by means of electrical transcription and phonographs and by the radio. They believe that the only effective way to preach is to go from house to house and make personal contact with the people and distribute to them books and pamphlets setting forth their views of Christianity.

In order to carry on this work they have organized three corporations, namely, The Peoples' Pulpit Association, The

Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, Inc., and The International Bible Students Association, which corporations publish the books and pamphlets setting forth the views of Jehovah's Witnesses. They make no profit from this work but do same at a loss.

[fol. 22] Their beliefs are more particularly set out in their articles of faith hereto attached as Exhibit "C" and made a part hereof.

On or about the 15th day of June, 1940, the defendant H. D. Cole within the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, and upon the main street of the city was contacting pedestrians thereon offering them a paper magazine "Consolation" setting forth their views of Christianity as held by the Jehovah's Witnesses upon the contribution of five cents (\$.05). Enclosed in the magazine was a printed handbill giving information concerning a convention and extending an invitation to all interested to attend. This was a convention to be held in Columbus and other large cities simultaneously. The police officers of the city asked the price of the magazine. The defendant Cole stated that anyone who would contribute a nickel could have a copy. The defendant had no privilege license issued by the city of Fort Smith for passing out or selling handbills. He was thereupon arrested and convicted in the municipal court from when he prosecutes this appeal.

On or about the 12th day of September, 1940, the defendant Mrs. Lois Bowden and the defendant Miss Zada Sanders were going from house to house in the residential section within the city of Fort Smith playing phonograph records upon which bible lectures had been recorded at each house after having first secured permission. Also they were presented to the residents of these houses various booklets, leaflets and periodicals setting forth their views of Christianity held by Jehovah's Witnesses. These booklets, leaflets and periodicals were supplied to the defendants by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society at a stipulated price which these individual defendants paid before the books were delivered from the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Brooklyn, New York. These defendants undertook to distribute these books to the residents of the city soliciting at the same time a contribution of twenty-five [fol. 23] cents (0.25) for each book. Within the covers of these books setting forth the views of Christianity as held by Jehovah's Witnesses is an advertisement or announce-

ment setting forth the rates for which the books may be purchased in numbers from the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Brooklyn, New York. These books in some instances are distributed free when the people wishing them are unable to contribute. Neither of these defendants had any license of any nature from the city of Fort Smith to distribute handbills or to sell or distribute books.

Lem C. Bryan, for the city of Fort Smith, Ark.
Woolsey & McKenzie, by Mark E. Woolsey, Attorneys for Defendants.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 24] EXHIBIT "A" TO STIPULATION OF FACTS

Ordinance No. 1568

An Ordinance entitled: An Ordinance amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1557.

Be it Ordained by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Fort Smith:

Section 1. That Item 10 of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 1557 be amended to read as follows:

Item 10. Advertising: Distributors of circulars, handbills, samples or other advertising matter, \$25 per annum, \$5 per month, \$1 per day; and each person engaging in distributing such advertising matter, whether upon his own account or as an agent, servant, or employee, shall pay said tax and shall keep in his or her possession, while so engaged, a receipt for said tax and exhibit same to the officers of the city upon demand.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in force and effect upon its passage and publication.

Passed and adopted this 19th day of July, 1930.

Fagan Bourland, Mayor.

Attest: Geo. Carnall, City Clerk.

Published in Southwest-American & Times Record
7-21-1930.

Penalty as provided in Section 8 of Ordinance No. 1315, which Ordinance No. 1557 amends:

Section 8. Any person, firm or corporation or agent thereof, violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction in the police court of the city, shall be fined in any sum not less than the amount of the license provided for in the section violated, nor more than double such amount for each offense, and each day of said violation shall constitute a separate offense.

[fol. 25] EXHIBIT "B" TO STIPULATION OF FACTS

Extract from Ordinance No. 1172 passed and approved by the Board of Commissioners of the city of Fort Smith on June 28, 1917, and signed by J. H. Wright, Mayor and W. F. Blocker, City Clerk.

Published in Times Record June 29, 1917.

Ordinance No. 1172

An Ordinance Entitled an Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 1080, Fixing and Prescribing and Establishing the Rates of Certain License in the City of Fort Smith, Arkansas, and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict Herewith.

Be it Ordained by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Fort Smith, Arkansas:

Section 1. That the license hereinafter named shall be fixed and imposed and collected at the following rates and sums and it shall be unlawful for any person or persons to exercise or pursue any of the following vocations of business in the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, without first having obtained a license therefor from the city clerk and having paid for the same in gold, silver or United States currency as hereinafter provided.

Section 40. For each person peddling dry goods, notions, wearing apparel, household goods or other articles not herein or otherwise specifically mentioned \$25 per month, \$10 per week, \$2.50 per day. A person, firm or corporation using two or more men in their peddling business \$50 per annum.

Section 3. The exercise of the privileges and business professions mentioned in this ordinance without having first obtained and paid the amount of license required and pro-

vided for by this ordinance shall be unlawful and if any person exercising any of the same without license shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and on conviction in the police court shall be fined in any sum not less than \$5 nor more than \$25 and that each day such business is carried on [fol. 26] in violation of this ordinance shall constitute a separate offense and the prosecution in pursuance thereof shall in no wise affect the right of the city to proceed against such person or persons violating this ordinance in a civil action.

[fol. 27] EXHIBIT "C" TO STIPULATION OF FACTS

Articles of Faith

We the defendants believe that Jehovah is the Almighty God as stated in Exodus 6 verse 3, "And I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by the name of God Almighty, but by my name Jehovah was I not known to them," that he is the creator of heaven and earth as stated in Genesis 1:1 "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth." In Isaiah 45:12 it is written "I have made the earth, and created man upon it: I, even my hands, have stretched out the heavens, and all their host have I commanded.

That his name Jehovah means, his purpose toward the people, which clearly implies that he has a definite purpose concerning man on this fact is positively borne out by Isaiah 14:26 which reads, "This is the purpose that is purposed upon the whole earth: and this is the hand that is stretched out upon all the nations." Again at Isaiah 46:10, 11, "my counsel shall stand, and I will do all my pleasure, I have spoken it, I will also bring it to pass; I have purposed it, I will also do it."

Jehovah created many spirit creatures of various ranks and order before he created man on this earth and the first of such spirit creatures was the Logos or word who, more than nineteen hundred years ago was sent to earth by his father to be his witness, and have since been identified by his title or name Jesus. John 18:37 supports this claim and reads, "Pilate therefore said unto him, Art thou a king? Jesus answered, Thou sayest that I am a king. To this end was I born, and for this cause came I into the

world, that I should bear witness unto the truth. Everyone that is of the truth heareth my voice."

Long before Jesus was born Jehovah had chosen him to be the kind of his righteous government which all the prophets foretold he should establish on this earth. Isaiah 9:6, 7. "For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given; and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his [fol. 28] name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace. Of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end, upon the throne of David, and upon his kingdom to order it, and to establish it with judgment and with justice from henceforth even for ever. The zeal of the Lord of hosts will perform this."

Before God's government or Kingdom can be established the nations must be judged and the wicked destroyed off the earth, therefore, says the apostle Paul "Because he hath appointed a day, in which he will judge the world in righteousness by that man whom he hath ordained; whereof he hath given assurance unto all men, in that he hath raised him from the dead." Then will be fulfilled the prophecy of Psalms 37: verses 9, 10 & 11, which reads "For evildoers shall be cut off: but those that wait upon the Lord, they shall inherit the earth. For yet a little while, and the wicked shall not be: yea, thou shalt diligently consider his place, and it shall not be. But the meek shall inherit the earth; and delight themselves in the abundance of peace."

For three and one half years Jesus did faithfully witness concerning Jehovah's purpose and his own relation as king to the Kingdom.

When Jesus began his ministry, he quoted as his authority the words of Isaiah the prophet to wit: "The spirit of the Lord God is upon me; because the Lord has anointed me to preach good tidings unto the meek; he hath sent me to bind up the brokenhearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to them that are bound; To proclaim the acceptable year of the Lord and the day of vengeance of our God; to comfort all that mourn; To appoint unto them that mourn in Zion, to give unto them beauty for ashes, the oil of joy for mourning, the garment of praise for the spirit of heaviness; that they be called trees of righteousness; the planting of the Lord, that he might be glorified." Isaiah 61: 1, 2, 3. Thereafter Jesus [fol. 29] began to call others to witness about the Kingdom

sending them forth amongst the people with the command: "Go ye into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature." This same command to witness or preach concerning the Kingdom is given to the followers at the end of the world as stated in Matthew 24: 14 quote, "And this gospel of the Kingdom shall be preached in all the world for a witness unto all the nations; and then shall the end come." Also, Mark 3:10 "And the gospel must first be published among all nations." This required duty is just as binding upon his consecrated followers today as it was then, concerning which the apostle Paul says: "For though I preach the gospel, I have nothing to glory of: for necessity is laid upon me; yea, woe is unto me, if I preach not the gospel." Jesus instructed all his followers to pray for that Kingdom and by so doing they thus expressed their faith in God's promise to set up the Kingdom and would also by this means show that they desired to see his will done in the earth even as his will is obeyed in heaven. Matthew 6:10.

The establishment of the Kingdom is the most important of all the events that have ever transpired in the history of man, because it is at that time the judgment of the nations takes place, Matthew 25:32 "And before him shall be gathered all nations; and he shall separate them one from another, as a shepherd divideth his sheep from the goats." The result of this judgment to the nations is found in Psalms 2:9 which reads, "Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel."

Jesus and his apostles and all the prophets foretold that Satan's wicked rule would come to an end with a time of trouble more severe than man had ever known and Jesus himself testified that this period of "sorrows" would be introduced by war among the nations accompanied by famine, pestilences, earthquakes and that the heavenly father would stop that war for a time in order that his consecrated followers, elected or chosen to serve him, could [fol. 30] witness to the fact that the time had come to establish his eternal Kingdom by calling attention to the fulfillment of these prophecies.

These events when considered in the light of prophecy which foretold them constitute signs or proofs and concerning which Jesus says, "and when these things begin to

come to pass, then look up, and lift up your heads; for your redemption draweth nigh." Luke 21:28.

These events did begin to come to pass in the year 1914. In order to prepare a people to do this witness work at the appointed time it pleased the Lord to reveal certain bible truths before 1914 and such truth gathered together a company of people who formed an organization for the purpose of "dessemination" of bible truths by means of publications, in printed form, and other lawful means." Such organization is known as The Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society. And by the use of the printed message prepared by this society, said company of people brought these truths to the attention of millions of other people with the result that many people stood in expectation of the coming of the Lord, believing that the year 1914 would mark the turning point in the affairs of the nations with nations rising against nations and be followed by other events that would bring upon the nations great distress and woe and lead them eventually to the great tribulation or battle or Armageddon.

This matter of preparing his people before the beginning of all these things is described in the book of Malachi in these words, quote: "Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me; and the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the covenant, whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith the Lord of hosts. But who may abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner and purifier of silver: and he shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver, that they may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness."

Be it noted that the first thing that Christ Jesus the messenger of the covenant does after coming to his temple is to refine the silver or sons and this process cleanses or purges God's people who are thereafter required to render a service unto the Lord, as the apostle Paul declares in Hebrews 13:15. "By him therefore let us offer the sacrifice of praise to God continually, that is, the fruit of our lips giving thanks to his name." These and many other scriptures clearly point out that after these events began to take place which mark the end of the world the Lord's people must obey the command given by Jesus and relating to this time which reads, "And this gospel of the

Kingdom shall be preached in all the world for a witness unto all nations; and then shall the end come." Jesus is the messenger or mediator of the covenant and his followers are taken into that covenant with him for the kingdom, and therefore these words of Isaiah 61: 1, 2 apply to all of his followers, quote "The spirit of the Lord God is upon me; because the Lord hath anointed me to preach good tidings unto the meek; he hath sent me to bind up the brokenhearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to them that are bound; To proclaim the acceptable year of the Lord, and the day of vengeance of our God; to comfort all that mourn."

In their — of proclaiming the gospel Jesus and his apostles went from city to city, from village to village and went from house to house to tell the people about the promised kingdom and the blessing it would bring. In proof of this mark the following scriptures. Matthew 10:12 to 14, "Nor scrip for your journey, neither two coats, neither shoes, nor yet staves: for the workman is worthy of his meat. And into whatsoever city or town ye shall enter, enquire who in it is worthy; and there abide till ye go thence. And when ye come into an house salute it. And if the house is worthy, let your peace come upon it: but if it be not worthy, let your peace return to you. And whosoever shall not receive you, nor hear your words, when ye depart out of that house or city, shake off the dust of your feet." [fol. 32] After the death of Jesus his followers continued to go from house to house and preach as declared in Acts 20:20 "And how I kept back nothing that was profitable unto you, but have shewed you, and have taught you publicly from house to house."

Paul tells us in 2 Cor. 4:4 that satan is the God of this world. For six thousand years satan has been permitted by the Almighty God to rule over the kingdoms of this earth and the end of this world marks the end of his wicked rule and marks the beginning of the new world of righteousness under Christ.

Every one of the prophets who foretold of the coming kingdom were opposed by the devil working through men under his control. When Jesus began his ministry satan employed his religious agents to turn the people against him and against all his followers. They formed a conspiracy to kill him and drew the political rulers into it and succeeded in having him arrested and crucified. Jesus very

pointedly stated that his followers would be persecuted in the same manner for preaching the gospel in the last days. The apostles were repeatedly arrested for preaching the kingdom and when brought before the courts they stated in no uncertain terms that it was their duty to obey God regardless of the opinion of the Court. Acts 4:19. "But Peter and John answered and said unto them, Whether it be right in the sight of God to hearken unto you more than unto God, judge ye." Acts 5:25. "Then came one and told them, saying Behold the men whom ye put in prison are standing in the temple, and teaching the people." Acts 5:42, "and daily in the temple, and in every house, they ceased not to teach and preach Jesus Christ."

In the face of all the opposition of the wicked, Jesus himself went forth to tell the people about the way to life. His apostles did likewise and all other followers are required to do the same. 1 Peter 2:21 "For even hereunto were ye called: because Christ also suffered for us, leaving us an example, that ye should follow his steps." This work is done to inform the people of God's purpose; [fol. 33] and now because the time is very short before the battle of the great day of God Almighty, Jehova's Witnesses are putting forth every effort to warn the people they may learn the way of escape from destruction.

We the defendants work in harmony with the rest of Jehovah's Witnesses under the directions of The Watch Tower Society, which Society was organized for the very purpose of aiding the people to understand the bible. The policy of the Society and the method of conducting the work has been published in a number of year books since 1925 and to which we refer the Court for information. From one such published in 1939 on page 41 we quote the following: "Jehovah's Witnesses are made up of a large number of persons who are consecrated to Jehovah God and who have associated themselves together in the common cause and work which God has commanded all such consecrated persons to engage in, that is the work of proclaiming his message of truth as contained in the bible for the benefit of the people. Said witnesses therefore constitute a voluntary association. To carry on their work and hold and perpetuate title to their property a corporation or corporations are necessary. In the state of New York the Peoples Pulpit Association, created and organized under the membership corporation law, holds title to all property of Jehovah's

witnesses that is held within that state, and therefore is the corporation of Jehovah's witnesses. The Peoples Pulpit Association is a non-stock and non-profit corporation. It pays no dividends and no salaries and all service rendered by those associated with it is rendered without pecuniary profit save only the necessities of life, such as food and raiment and incidental expenses. The money which was used to purchase its property, and that which is used to support the work it carries on, was and is derived from contributions made by persons interested in the kingdom of God under Christ. All of its money so received is payed out for the purpose of carrying on its work of doing good by publishing literature and distributing it amongst the people, by which the gospel is preached, as set forth in the bible, God's word of truth. Jehovah's Witnesses and the corporation afore-mentioned have no desire to make money, and they receive no pecuniary profit whatsoever. No one associated with the corporation or the work of Jehovah's witnesses receives pecuniary profit. The title to property of Jehovah's witnesses outside of the state of New York is held either by the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, a corporation organized under the laws of Pennsylvania, or by the International Bible Students Association, incorporated under the laws of Great Britain. Neither of such corporations has any capital stock, and no one connected therewith receives any pecuniary profit. These corporations, therefore, were created and organized and are used exclusively to carry on the charitable, benevolent and biblical instruction work in which Jehovah's witnesses are engaged and to which they have devoted their lives, to the honor of Jehovah God and to the good of mankind."

We are not selling books or magazines for profit. The small amount of money contributed by the public for the literature covers only a portion of the actual cost of this work, the deficit is made up by voluntary contributions from those who share in this work. Our sole object is to obey the command of the Lord and our failure to do so would result in our eternal destruction. When knowledge and understanding of God's word given to any one it is their duty to publish it for the information of others. Matthew 5:14 to 16. "Ye are the light of the world. A city that is set on a hill cannot be hid. Neither do man light a candle, and put it under a bushel, but on a candlestick; and it giveth light unto all that are in the house. Let your light so

shine before men, that they may see your good works, and glorify your Father which is in heaven." These scriptures make it certain that failure or refusal to proclaim the truth for the benefit of others would place us in the class represented as an unprofitable servant.

[fol. 35] When God commands his people to do a certain thing they must do it or be destroyed and to ask for permission in the form of license or permits issued by men to do the work God has assigned to us to do would be an insult to the Almighty God because it would be a denial of his power or supremacy and admitting that man is superior to the creator. Failure of the rulers to recognize the supremacy of God in times past led them to dispute the right of Jesus and his apostles to preach the gospel and they repeatedly arrested and punished them because these men faithfully served God. By his prophet Jeremiah Jehovah foretold that in the last days the nations would dispute his supremacy, saying, "A noise shall come even to the ends of the earth: for the Lord hath a controversy with the nations, he will plead with all flesh; he will give them that are wicked to the sword, saith the Lord. Thus saith the Lord of hosts, behold, evil shall go forth from nation to nation, and a great whirlwind shall be raised up from the coast of the earth. And the slain of the Lord shall be at that day from one of the earth even unto the other of the earth: they shall not be lamented, neither gathered, nor buried; they shall be dung upon the ground."

Any attempt to stop or hinder the Lord's servants from doing the work he has commanded them to do is equivalent to fighting against God as pointed out by Gamaliel, a doctor of the law, and whose words are recorded in the fifth chapter of Acts verses 34 to 39 which reads. "Then stood there up one in the council, a Pharisee named Gamaliel a doctor of the law, and in reputation among all the people, and commanded to put the apostles forth a little space; And said unto them, Ye men of Israel, take heed to yourselves what ye intend to do as touching these men. For before these days rose up Theudas, boasting himself to be somebody; to whom a number of men, about four hundred, joined themselves: who was slain; and all, as many as obeyed him, were scattered, and brought to naught. After this man rose up Judas of Galilee in the days of the taxing, and [fol. 36] drew away much people after him: he also perished; and all, even as many as obeyed him, were dispersed. And

now I say unto you, refrain from these men, and let them along: for this council or this work be of men, it will come to naught: But if it be of God, ye cannot overthrow it; lest haply ye be found even to fight against God." The parable of the sheep and goats spoken by Jesus and which relates to the period of time called judgment day definitely establishes the fact that persecution of and opposition to his witnesses the Lord regards as against himself and meets out the punishment of everlasting destruction. Matthew 25:45 "Then shall he answer them, saying, verily I say unto you, in as much as ye did it not to one of the least of these, ye did it not to me."

The men who framed the Constitution of these United States took note of all these facts when they included in that document a clause prohibiting congress or any other legislative body in the land from making any law or ordinance that would in any manner condition, regulate, restrict, restrain, interfere with or stop the free exercise of religion and the worship of Almighty God. The law of the states also guarantee this same privilege to worship according to the dictates of one's conscience. The supreme court of the United States has repeatedly upheld our right to to this work; the last case before this court was in May of this year and this was decided in our favor. Jesus and his disciples worshiped God "in spirit and in truth" by performing the service commanded of them and we worship him in the same manner by doing the same work they did.

The events of recent years, together with the present conditions and what the nations are now doing prove conclusively that we are living in the last days just proceeding the battle of Armageddon and therefore the most urgent need of the people at this time is an understanding of the scripture and knowledge of the impending destruction and the provision God has made to escape that destruction. [fol. 37] In order to get this information to the people we use the printed pages, recorded bible lectures which we reproduce on portable phonographs, by oral discussion of the scriptures and bible studies in halls and private homes. No charges are ever made and no collections are taken for these services. There are hundreds of people in and around Fort Smith who could testify to this. When we meet people who are too poor to contribute for the literature but sincerely desire to read it we give it them freely. Our work is entirely charitable and prompted by an unselfish desire

to do good unto the people. We have consecrated our lives to serve God and it is our duty to tell the people of God's gracious provision for man's protection and Salvation in his Kingdom under Christ. Without such knowledge the people would perish as stated by the prophet in these words: Hosea 4:6 "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children."

To the charge that the Watch Tower Society makes money from our work we answer that there are many religious organizations in the land that own property in any one of our large cities which is valued at much more than all the property owned by the Watch Tower Society in the whole earth.

[fol. 38] IN CIRCUIT COURT OF SEBASTIAN COUNTY

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR FINDINGS OF FACT AND LAW—Filed
November 2, 1940

The above three cases having been consolidated for trial and submitted to the court upon the agreed statement of facts, comes now the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, and requests the court to make the following findings of fact:

I

That the defendant, H. D. Cole, was on the date mentioned passing out and selling handbills advertising a convention.
(Given by the court.)

II

That the defendant, H. D. Cole, on said date and at said time he was engaged in said practice did not have a tax receipt issued by the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, indicating that he had paid a tax to engage in such practice.

(Given by the court.)

III

That the defendants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, and each of them, were on the dates mentioned engaged in peddling books.

(Given by the court.)

IV

That such books are embraced by the words "other articles" as set forth in Section 40 of Ordinance No. 1172.

(Given by the court.)

V

That the defendants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, and each of them, on the dates mentioned and at the time they were so engaged did not have a tax receipt showing that they had secured a license from the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, to engage in such practice.

(Given by the court.)

[fol. 39] And the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, further requests that the court make the following findings of law:

1. That the defendant, H. D. Cole, was first required to pay a tax before engaging in the distribution of handbills and that said tax should have been paid to the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, under the terms of Ordinance No. 1568.

(Given by the court.)

2. That the defendants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, and each of them, were required before engaging in the sale and peddling of said books to first procure a license from the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, for the privilege of so doing under the terms and conditions of Ordinance No. 1172.

(Given by the court.)

Respectfully submitted, city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, by Lem C. Bryan.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 40] IN CIRCUIT COURT OF SEBASTIAN COUNTY

DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR FINDING OF FACTS AND DECLARATION OF LAW—Filed November 2, 1940

Comes now each of the above named defendants and requests the court to find from the stipulation filed as the evidence herein the following facts:

1. Each of the defendants is a member of a company of men and women known as Jehovah's Witnesses. Jehovah

witnesses are not a religious sect or denomination but are a company of Christian men and women who have individually taken their stand on the side of the Lord and who jointly go forward in obedience to his commandment to publish the Theocratic Government and to make known to the sincere people of earth God's Gracious provision for man's salvation.

(Given by the court.)

2. Each of the defendants claims to be an ordained minister of the gospel and claims that the authority of ordination or commission of Jehovah's witnesses is given to them exclusively by Jehovah God and through Christ Jesus the King of Theocracy. Their ordination or commission of authority was first conferred upon Christ Jesus, and through him extends to all his true followers and to which the following commission applies, to-wit:

"The spirit of the Lord God is upon me; because the Lord hath anointed me to preach good tidings unto the meek; he hath sent me to bind up the broken-hearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of prison to them that are bound; to proclaim the acceptable year of the Lord, and the day of vengeance of our God; to comfort all that mourn.—Isa. 61: 1, 2."

(Given by the Court.)

3. (They do not engage in this work for any selfish reason but because) they feel called to publish the news and preach the gospel of the kingdom to all the world as a witness before the end comes. Matt: 24: 14. They believe that in [fol. 41] doing this they are engaged in work that the Almighty God declared must be done. To them the words "to preach" means to proclaim or publish. They claim to be publishers of the message of Jehovah making known his name and his government. Such publication is done by word of mouth, by distribution of the printed message, by the reproduction of recorded speech, by means of electrical transcription and phonographs and by the radio. They believe that the only effective way to preach is to go from house to house and make personal contact with the people and distribute to them books and pamphlets setting forth their views of Christianity.

(Words in parenthesis refused. Given as modified.)

4. In order to carry on this work they have organized three corporations namely, The Peoples' Pulpit Association, The Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, Inc., and the International Bible Students Association, which corporations publish the books and pamphlets setting forth the views of Jehovah's Witnesses. (They make no profits from this work but do same at a loss.)

(Words in parenthesis refused. Given by the court as modified.)

5. Their beliefs are more particularly set out in their Articles of Faith which appear as Exhibit "C" to the stipulation filed herein.

(Given by the court.)

6. The defendant, H. D. Cole, was arrested and convicted on a charge of distributing handbills in the city of Fort Smith without a license. The handbills in question have information concerning a convention to be held in Columbus, Ohio, and other large cities simultaneously and extended an invitation to all persons interested to attend. These handbills were not distributed by said defendant separately, but were enclosed in a paper magazine, "Consolation", setting forth the views of Christianity as held by Jehovah's Witnesses and was being offered to the public by said defendant upon a contribution of five cents per copy. [fol. 42] There is no evidence in the record that the defendant placed the handbills in the magazine (or knew at the time he was offering said magazines to the public that they were in the magazine.) The defendant is not charged with the violation of any ordinance in passing out or offering to the public said magazine.

(Words in parenthesis refused. Given by the court as modified.)

7. On or about the 12th day of September, 1940, the defendant Mrs. Lois Bowden, and the defendant, Miss Zada Sanders, were going from house to house in the residential section within the city of Fort Smith playing phonograph records upon which bible lectures had been recorded at each house after having first secured permission. Also they were presenting to the residents of these houses various booklets, leaflets and periodicals setting forth their views of Christianity held by Jehovah's Witnesses. These booklets, leaflets, and periodicals were supplied to the defendants of

ferred these books to the residents of Fort Smith upon a contribution of 25¢ for each book. The books in some incidents are distributed free when the people wishing them are unable to contribute.

(Given by the Court.)

8. Each of the above defendants was engaged in the activities as herein found by the court under the honest belief that such activities were a part of the work which they felt called upon and ordained by Jehovah God through Christ Jesus to do.

(Refused by the court.)

II

Upon the facts in this case the defendant, H. D. Cole, requests the court to make the following declarations of law in his behalf:

1. That ordinance No. 1568 of the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, is not applicable to nor does not apply to handbills of the character charged to have been distributed by said defendant.

(Refused by the court.)

[fol. 43] 2. That the facts fail to show that said defendant has violated said ordinance:

(Refused by the court.)

3. That said ordinance is in violation of the Constitution of the State of Arkansas and of the Constitution of the United States of America and that said ordinance is in direct violation of Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 14 to the Constitution of the United States of America and is void.

(Refused by the court.)

III

Upon the facts in the case the defendants, Zada Sanders and Lois Bowden, request the court to make the following declarations of law in their behalf:

1. That ordinance No. 1172 of the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, is not applicable to the facts in the case and has no application to the activities for which defendants were arrested and convicted.

(Refused by the court.)

2. That the facts fail to show that either of said defendants has violated said ordinance.

(Refused by the court.)

3. That said ordinance is in violation of the Constitution of the State of Arkansas and of the Constitution of the United States of America, and more particularly is in direct violation of Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 14 to the Constitution of the United States of America and is void.

(Refused by the court.)

Woolsey & McKenzie, Attorneys for defendants. By
Mark E. Woolsey.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 44] IN CIRCUIT COURT OF SEBASTIAN COUNTY

ORDER SETTLING BILL OF EXCEPTIONS

Now, come the defendants on the 6th day of January, 1941, and offer the above and foregoing as their bill of exceptions in this case, and request that the same be filed and sealed and made a part of the record herein, which is accordingly done this 6th day of January, 1941.

J. Sam Wood, Judge of the Twelfth Judicial Circuit
of Arkansas.

[fol. 45] Bond on appeal for \$75.00 approved and filed
December 7, 1940, omitted in printing.

[fol. 46] Clerk's Certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

[fol. 47] IN SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS

JUDGMENT—June 9, 1941

This cause came on to be heard upon the transcript of the record of the circuit court of Sebastian County, Ft. Smith District, and was argued by counsel; on consideration whereof it is the opinion of the court that there is error in the proceedings and judgment of said circuit court in this

cause in this: As to the appellant H. D. Cole, Ordinance No. 1568, under which the information was filed, is void.

It is therefore considered by the court that as to appellant H. D. Cole, the judgment of said circuit court in this cause rendered be, and the same is hereby, for the error aforesaid, reversed; annulled and set aside with costs; and that this cause as to said appellant be, and it is hereby, dismissed.

But it is further the opinion of the court that as to appellants Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, there is no error in the proceedings and judgment of said circuit court in this cause.

It is therefore considered by the court that the judgment of said circuit court in this cause rendered, as to said appellants, be, and the same is hereby in all things affirmed with costs.

It is further considered that said appellant H. D. Cole recover of said appellee all his costs in this court and the court below in this cause expended and have execution thereof; and that said appellee recover of said appellants Bowden and Sanders, all its costs in this court in this cause expended; and have execution thereof.

[fol. 48] IN SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS

COLE

v.

CITY OF FORT SMITH

OPINION—June 9, 1941

HOLT, J.:

Appellant, H. D. Cole, was convicted in the municipal court of Fort Smith, Arkansas, for an alleged violation of the provisions of ordinance No. 1568 of that city. Appellants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, were convicted in the same court for a violation of ordinance No. 1172. On appeal to the circuit court a jury was waived, and, by agreement, the causes were consolidated for trial and submitted to the court. Appellants were again convicted and fines assessed. This appeal followed.

That part of the ordinance under which H. D. Cole was convicted, is as follows: "Item 10. Advertising: Distribu-

tors of circulars, handbills, samples or other advertising matter, \$25 per annum, \$5 per month, \$1 per day; and each person engaging in distributing such advertising matter, whether upon his own account or as an agent, servant, or employee, shall pay said tax and shall keep in his or her possession, while so engaged, a receipt for said tax and exhibit same to the officers of the city upon demand". Violation of this ordinance under another section is made a misdemeanor and punishable by fine.

And the ordinance under which Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders were convicted, provides: "Section 1. That the license hereinafter named shall be fixed and imposed and collected at the following rates and sums and it shall be unlawful for any person or persons to exercise or pursue any of the following vocations of business in the city of Fort Smith, Arkansas, without first having obtained a license therefor from the city clerk and having paid for the same in gold, silver or United States currency as hereinafter provided. * * * Section 40. For each person peddling dry goods, notion, wearing apparel, household goods or other articles, not herein or otherwise specifically mentioned, \$25 per month, \$10 per week, \$2.50 per day. A person, firm or corporation using two or more men in [fol. 49] their peddling business \$50 per annum." Section 3 makes a violation a misdemeanor.

These causes are submitted on an agreed statement of facts:

Each of the appellants is a member of what is known as Jehovah's Witnesses, which is not a religious sect. Appellants claim to be ordained ministers of the gospel and that the authority of ordination or commission of Jehovah's Witnesses is given to them exclusively by Jehovah God. "They do not engage in this work for any selfish reason, but because they feel called to publish the news and preach the gospel of the Kingdom to all the world as a witness before the end comes. (Matt. 24: 14). They believe that in doing this they are engaged in work that the Almighty God declared must be done. To them the words 'to preach' mean to proclaim or publish. They claim to be publishers of the message of Jehovah making known His name and His government. Such publication is done by word of mouth, by distribution of the printed message, by the reproduction of recorded speech, by means of electrical transcription and

phonographs and by the radio. They believe that the only effective way to preach is to go from house to house and make personal contact with the people and distribute to them books and pamphlets setting forth their views of Christianity".

Appellant Cole on June 15, 1940, went about on the streets of Fort Smith selling "a paper magazine 'Consolation' setting forth their views of Christianity as held by Jehovah's Witnesses upon the contribution of five cents. Enclosed in the magazine was a printed handbill giving information concerning a convention and extending an invitation to all interested to attend. This was a convention to be held in Columbus and other large cities simultaneously. The police officers of the city asked the price of the magazine. The defendant Cole stated that anyone who would contribute a nickel could have a copy. The defendant had no privilege license issued by the city of Fort Smith for passing out and selling handbills. * * *"

Appellants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, on September 12, 1940, "were going from house to house in the residential section within the city of Fort Smith playing phonograph records upon which Bible lectures had been recorded at each house after having first secured permission. Also they were presenting to the residents of these houses various booklets, leaflets and periodicals setting forth their views of Christianity held by Jehovah's Witnesses. * * *

These defendants undertook to distribute these books to the residents of the city soliciting at the same time contribution of twenty-five cents for each book. * * * These books in some instances are distributed free when the people wishing them are unable to contribute. * * *

Appellants earnestly urge here that the ordinances under which they were convicted violated their rights under the Constitution of the United States in abridging the freedom of the press and prohibiting a free exercise of their religion.

Amendment No. 1 to the Constitution of the United States provides: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances".

And Sec. 1 of Amendment No. 14 is: "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the

jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

We take up first for consideration the charge against appellant Cole. Is the ordinance under which this appellant was convicted unconstitutional and therefore void? It is [fol. 51] our view that it is unconstitutional and void.

As was said by the United States Supreme Court in *Lovell v. Griffin*, 82 Law. ed. 949, 953: "Freedom of speech and freedom of the press, which are protected by the First Amendment from infringement by Congress, are among the fundamental personal rights and liberties which are protected by the Fourteenth Amendment from invasion by state action. (Citing cases.) "It is also well settled that municipal ordinances adopted under state authority constitute state action and are within the prohibition of the amendment. (Citing cases.) * * * The liberty of the press is not confined to newspapers and periodicals. It necessarily embraces pamphlets and leaflets. * * *

It will be observed from the agreed statement of facts, and the trial court so found, that "the defendant, H. D. Cole, is not charged with the violation of any ordinance in passing out or offering to the public said magazine 'Consolation'". He was convicted for distributing the circulars or handbills enclosed in the magazine, which magazine only he was selling for five cents per copy.

Under the plain terms of the ordinance in question it is made an offense punishable by fine, for any one to distribute circulars or handbills on the streets of Fort Smith without first having paid for a license to distribute them. The ordinance says nothing about distributing for profit nor is there any reference to peddling or engaging in a business such as referred to in the ordinance under which the other two appellants were convicted.

In the comparatively recent case of *Schneider v. Irvington*, decided by the Supreme Court of the United States November 22, 1939, 308 U. S. 147, 84 Law. ed. 155, the court had before it for joint consideration four causes, each of which presented the question whether regulations en-

bodied in a municipal ordinance abridged the freedom of speech and of the press secured against state invasion by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution.

The first ordinance considered was that of the city of [fol. 52] Los Angeles, California, which provided "No person shall distribute any handbill to or among pedestrians along or upon any street, sidewalk, or park, or to passengers on any street car, or throw, place or attach any handbill in, to or upon any automobile or other vehicle". Ordinances similar in effect were considered from the cities of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Worcester, Massachusetts, and Irvington, New Jersey.

The Los Angeles ordinance was upheld by the highest court of California on the ground "that experience shows littering of the streets results from the indiscriminate distribution of handbills."

The Milwaukee ordinance was held valid by the highest court of that state on the ground that "the purpose of the ordinance was to prevent an unsightly, untidy and offensive condition of the sidewalks".

The Worcester ordinance was upheld by the highest court of that state on similar grounds.

The ordinance of the town of Irvington, New Jersey, provides: "No person except as in this ordinance provided shall canvass, solicit, distribute circulars, or other matter, or call from house to house in the town of Irvington without first having reported to and received a written permit from the chief of police or the officer in charge of police headquarters". The Supreme Court of that state held: "that the petitioner's conduct amounted to the solicitation and acceptance of money contributions without a permit, and held the ordinance prohibiting such action a valid regulation, aimed at protecting occupants and others from disturbance and annoyance and preventing unknown strangers from visiting houses by day and night."

In holding each of these court ordinances unconstitutional and void, and reversing the judgment in each case, the Supreme Court of the United States, among other things, said:

"The motive of the legislation under attack in Numbers 13, 18 and 29, (the Los Angeles, Milwaukee and Worcester [fol. 53] cases), is held by the court below to be the prevention of littering of the streets, and, although the alleged

offenders were not charged with themselves scattering paper in the streets, their convictions were sustained upon the theory that distribution by them encouraged or resulted in such littering. We are of the opinion that the purpose to keep the streets clean and of good appearance is insufficient to justify an ordinance which prohibits a person rightfully on a public street from handing literature to one willing to receive it. Any burden imposed upon the city authorities in cleaning and caring for the streets as an indirect consequence of such distribution results from the constitutional protection of the freedom of speech and press. This constitutional protection does not deprive a city of all power to prevent street littering. There are obvious methods of preventing littering. Amongst these is the punishment of those who actually throw papers on the streets."

There is no contention that the ordinance under which Cole was convicted was intended to prevent the littering of the streets. As has been indicated, the ordinance denied to appellant the right to distribute the circulars in question without first having paid for a license.

The opinion in the Irvington case, supra, is concluded with this language: "We are not to be taken as holding that commercial soliciting and canvassing may not be subjected to such regulation as the ordinance requires. Nor do we hold that the town may not fix reasonable hours when canvassing may be done by persons having such objects as the petitioner. Doubtless there are other features of such activities which may be regulated in the public interest without prior licensing or other invasion of constitutional liberty. We do hold, however, that the ordinance in question, as applied to the petitioner's conduct, is void, and she cannot be punished for acting without a permit. The judgment in each case is reversed and the causes are remanded for further proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion". [fol. 54] We come now to a consideration of the charges against appellants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, for violating ordinance No. 1172. Under this ordinance these two appellants were charged with carrying on the business of peddling religious books at twenty-five cents per copy without first having procured a license. We think it clear that this ordinance is broad enough to embrace the character of goods, under the term "other articles", that appel-

lants were peddling, under the facts presented. We think it can make no difference as to what motives, religious or otherwise, that may have prompted appellants to peddle these books. We think there is no inhibition in the Constitution of the United States against the imposition of the license imposed by the ordinance in question. A similar question was presented in the case of *Cook v. City of Harrison*, 180 Ark. 546, in which one of Jehovah's Witnesses had appealed from a conviction of violating an ordinance of the city of Harrison, the applicable provisions of which were:

"That it shall be unlawful for any person or persons to engage in, exercise or pursue any of the following avocations or businesses without first having obtained and paid for a license therefor from the proper city officials, the amount of which license is hereby fixed as follows to-wit:
 * * * Section 13. For each book, picture or picture frame peddler, five dollars per month, or twenty-five dollars per year. * * * Section 31. Whoever shall engage in any business for which a license is required by this ordinance, without first obtaining and paying for same as above required, shall be deemed guilty of misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be fined in any sum not exceeding \$300."

The facts in this Harrison case are in all respects similar to those presented here. There this court said: "The gist of appellants' contention for a reversal of the judgment is that the ordinance does not forbid the hawking or peddling of religious tracts, or books, especially if the parties distributing them are prompted by religious motives. We find no such exception in the ordinance. No distinction appears in the ordinance between the character of books distributed or the motives prompting the distribution thereof. The Constitution of the state authorizes the imposition of a tax or license on hawkers or peddlers, irrespective of the kind of goods, wares or merchandise distributed by them, and there is no inhibition in the Constitution of the United States against the imposition of a tax or license upon them. The imposition of such a tax is not an abridgment of religious freedom or an infringement upon the constitutional guaranty of religious liberty."

We do not think the case of *Lovell v. Griffin*, 58 S. Ct. 666, 303 U. S. 444, 82 L. Ed. 949, controls here. The pro-

visions of the ordinance considered there were materially different from the one before us. We think the case of *Cook v. City of Harrison*, supra, controls here and that the ordinance under which appellants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, were convicted is valid and constitutional and must stand. Accordingly the judgment as to appellant, H. D. Cole, is reversed and the cause dismissed. As to appellants, Lois Bowden and Zada Sanders, the judgments are affirmed.

[fol. 56] IN SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS

No. 4203

H. D. COLE, et al., Appellants,

VS.

CITY OF FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS, Appellee

PETITION FOR STAY OF MANDATE AND EXECUTION—Filed June 30, 1941

Come now Zada Sanders and Lois Bowden, appellants, in the above and foregoing cause, and state that they desire to appeal this case to the Supreme Court of the United States. That pending the preparation of the record by the clerk of this court appellants desire the court to hold the mandate herein and supersede the execution of the judgment in this cause for a period of ninety days, or for such time as may be necessary for the clerk of this court to prepare and certify this record. That appellants have filed a bond to perform the judgment of the court below, herein affirmed, in the event said judgment is affirmed on appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States.

Wherefore, the appellants pray the court to hold said mandate and stay execution in the judgment of the cause for a period of ninety days or until the clerk of this court can prepare and certify the record of the cause to file in the Supreme Court of the United States. And for all other and proper and general relief as to the court may seem mete and proper.

Mark E. Woolsey, Attorney for Appellants.

Mandate ordered withheld to allow time for appeal to Supreme Court of United States.

Griffin Smith, Chief Justice Arkansas Supreme Court.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fols. 57-58] Bond on appeal for \$500.00 approved and filed June 30, 1941, omitted in printing.

[fol. 59] Clerk's Certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, OCTOBER TERM, 1941

No. 314

ORDER ALLOWING CERTIORARI—March 16, 1942

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas


It is ordered that the order denying certiorari in this case be, and the same is hereby, vacated; and that the petition for writ of certiorari herein be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the case is assigned for argument immediately following No. 966, *John v. State of Arizona*.

And it is further ordered that the duly certified copy of the transcript of the proceedings below which accompanied the petition shall be treated as though filed in response to such writ.

(9556)

MICRO CARD

22

TRADE MARK 

41



548

65

